

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,058	12/07/2001	David Reginald Adams	20791	7827
151 75	90 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
HOFFMANN-LA ROCHE INC.			BERNHARDT, EMILY B	
PATENT LAW DEPARTMENT 340 KINGSLAND STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NUTLEY, NJ	07110		1624	
			DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/010,058	ADAMS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Emily Bernhardt	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety for the provision of the provisi	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-33 and 35-44 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) 36,37,43 and 44 is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) 14-32 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-13,33,35,38-42 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	e withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(s) the centence of the specification or evisional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗀 lator dans comercia	(DTO 442) Densi No(a)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,058

Art Unit: 1624

In view of applicants' response filed 9/24/03 the following applies.

Applicants indicate that the claims have been amended to conform to Group I subject matter. However, applicants should note that the species deleted from claim 14 is part of Group I subject matter. Applicants request rejoinder of claims 36,37, 43 and 44 but the request is denied. New rejoinder rules pertain to use claims employing only compounds commensurate in scope with allowed subject matter and not to claims containing additional active ingredients. The IDS of 9/29/03 is a duplicate of one already considered by the examiner, namely the IDS of 4/15/03. The examiner's comment in the last office action was directed to the existence of an IDS filed **earlier** than 4/15/03. Note on the first page of the 4/15/03 IDS it is stated that an earlier IDS was filed on 2/2702. This IDS is not seen in the file.

Claims 1-9, 12,13, 33,35 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- 1. The first proviso on p.4 in claim 1 is now extraneous due to the deletion of nitro as a choice for substituents on aryl at A2.
- 2. The inclusion of certain "optional substituents" for aryl/cycloalkyl when these rings are further fused in claims 1,2 is unclear as to intended scope. These

Application/Control Number: 10/010,058

Art Unit: 1624

substituents are already recited for said fused rings at the end of the fused ring definition unless another definition is being provided but its not clear for what.

Claims 1-10,12,13,33,35,38-42 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The scope of substituents permitted on A2 rings remains nonenabled. Contrary to what applicants urge reasoning has been given in making this rejection which include factors considered in the Wands decision discussed at length in the MPEP as set forth in the last office action. In the absence of any data for species presumed tested, there can be no evaluation of structure-activity trends. Note the following quote taken from In re Cauvallito 127 USPQ 202 cited in Surrey, which was previously cited, at p.206, left column: "On the other hand, wide variation in such potency would suggest that it was due in part to the added substituents and might be eliminated or even reversed by many of the possible substituents which had not been tried.".

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Application/Control Number: 10/010,058

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1-4,7,9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Toldy. The para-Cl benzyl ester still reads on the instant claims notwithstanding applicants' amendments to the claims. Halo is a choice for substituents on phenyl in A2.

The 102 rejections over Aicher and Kawamoto are overcome in view of the amendments to the scope of A2 which can no longer be unsubstituted phenyl or NO2-substituted phenyl. However, the following rejection pertains to the amended scope.

Claims 1-4,7,9,10,12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishida (abstract for JP'671 provided). The reference teaches a compound within the instant scope as a precursor to carbapenem derivatives. See 4-methoxyphenyl methyl ester compound depicted in the abstract.

Claims 14-32 remain allowed.

This application contains claims 36,37,43 and 44 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 7. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancelation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Emily Bernhardt at telephone number (703) 308-4714.

A facsimile center has been established for Group 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The new fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

EMILY BERNHARDT PRIMARY EXAMINER

I Bembardo

GROUP 1600